

1. Retailers and Wholesalers

Retailers

Consider the final-good aggregator

$$Y_t = \left[\int_0^1 Y_t(i)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} di \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

The problem of retailers is:

$$\min_{\{Y_t(i)\}} \int_0^1 P_t(i) Y_t(i) di \quad \text{s.t.} \quad Y_t = \left[\int_0^1 Y_t(i)^{\frac{\theta-1}{\theta}} di \right]^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

- (i) Set up the Lagrangian and derive the FOC with respect to $Y_t(i)$.
- (ii) Given the price index

$$P_t = \left[\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\theta} di \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}.$$

derive the demand schedule for variety i :

$$Y_t(i) = Y_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta}.$$

- (iii) Show that

$$\frac{d \log Y_t(i)}{d \log P_t(i)} = -\theta.$$

- (iv) Define

$$\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\theta - 1}.$$

Explain why the markup is decreasing in θ .

Wholesalers

Each wholesaler produces according to

$$Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i),$$

and faces demand

$$Y_t(i) = Y_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta}.$$

Its problem is

$$\max_{P_t(i), N_t(i)} (1 - \tau_t) \frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} Y_t(i) - \frac{W_t}{P_t} N_t(i)$$

subject to

$$Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i), \quad Y_t(i) = Y_t \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta}.$$

Derive real marginal cost:

$$MC_t = \frac{W_t}{A_t P_t}.$$

- (i) Rewrite the firm's problem as a problem in $P_t(i)$ only.

(ii) Derive the FOC and show that

$$\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} = MC_t \frac{\theta}{(1 - \tau_t)(\theta - 1)}.$$

(iii) Using $\mu = \frac{1}{\theta - 1}$, rewrite the pricing rule as

$$\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} = MC_t \frac{1 + \mu}{1 - \tau_t}.$$

(iv) Explain why monopolistic competition alone is not enough to make monetary policy non-neutral.

2. Sticky prices

Assume Calvo pricing:

- with probability $1 - \alpha$, a firm resets its price at time t ,
- with probability α , it keeps the previous price.

Calvo problem

A firm resetting at time t chooses $\tilde{P}_t(i)$ to solve

$$\max_{\tilde{P}_t(i)} E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j M_{t,t+j} \left[(1 - \tau_{t+j}) \frac{\tilde{P}_t(i)}{P_{t+j}} - MC_{t+j} \right] Y_{t+j|t}(i)$$

subject to

$$Y_{t+j|t}(i) = Y_{t+j} \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t(i)}{P_{t+j}} \right)^{-\theta},$$

where

$$M_{t,t+j} \equiv \beta^j \left(\frac{C_{t+j}}{C_t} \right)^{-\sigma}.$$

- Substitute the demand schedule into the objective function.
- Derive the FOC with respect to $\tilde{P}_t(i)$.
- Define

$$\Pi_{t+j,t} \equiv \frac{P_{t+j}}{P_t}.$$

Show that the reset-price condition can be written as

$$\frac{\tilde{P}_t(i)}{P_t} = (1 + \mu) \frac{E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j M_{t,t+j} \Pi_{t+j,t}^{\theta} MC_{t+j} Y_{t+j}}{E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j M_{t,t+j} (1 - \tau_{t+j}) \Pi_{t+j,t}^{\theta-1} Y_{t+j}}.$$

- Explain the economic interpretation of the numerator and denominator.
- In symmetric equilibrium, all adjusting firms choose the same reset price. Write

$$\frac{\tilde{P}_t}{P_t} = \frac{X_{1t}}{X_{2t}}$$

and define X_{1t} and X_{2t} .

(vi) Show that we can rewrite X_{1t} and X_{2t} as:

$$X_{1t} = (1 + \mu)MC_t Y_t + \alpha\beta E_t \left[\left(\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \Pi_{t+1}^\theta X_{1,t+1} \right],$$

$$X_{2t} = (1 - \tau_t)Y_t + \alpha\beta E_t \left[\left(\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \Pi_{t+1}^{\theta-1} X_{2,t+1} \right],$$

Aggregate price level

The CES price index is

$$P_t^{1-\theta} = \int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\theta} di.$$

(i) Using the Calvo structure, show that

$$P_t^{1-\theta} = \alpha P_{t-1}^{1-\theta} + (1 - \alpha) \tilde{P}_t^{1-\theta}.$$

(ii) Using the result from the previous section, show that

$$1 = \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{X_{1t}}{X_{2t}} \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

Price dispersion

Define

$$\Delta_t \equiv \int_0^1 \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta} di.$$

(i) Starting from $Y_t(i) = A_t N_t(i)$ and the demand schedule, show that aggregate production satisfies

$$Y_t \Delta_t = A_t N_t.$$

(ii) Explain why $\Delta_t > 1$ represents a resource misallocation.

(iii) Split firms into adjusters and non-adjusters and derive

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \Pi_t^\theta \Delta_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t}{P_t} \right)^{-\theta}.$$

(iv) Use the price-index relation to show that

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{P}_t}{P_t} \right)^{1-\theta} = \frac{1 - \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1}}{1 - \alpha}.$$

(v) Deduce the law of motion

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \Pi_t^\theta \Delta_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{1 - \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1}}{1 - \alpha} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

3. Households

The representative household solves

$$\max_{\{C_t, B_t, N_t\}} E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \left(\frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{N_t^{1+\varphi}}{1+\varphi} \right)$$

subject to

$$P_t C_t + B_t = (1 + i_{t-1}) B_{t-1} + W_t N_t.$$

(i) Derive the Euler equation:

$$C_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t \left[C_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \frac{1 + i_t}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right].$$

(ii) Derive the labour-supply condition:

$$\frac{W_t}{P_t} = N_t^\varphi C_t^\sigma.$$

(iii) Use the goods market clearing condition and the equation for the marginal cost to show that

$$A_t M C_t = N_t^\varphi C_t^\sigma.$$

(iv) Using a result from section 1, derive

$$A_t^{1+\varphi} M C_t = Y_t^{\sigma+\varphi} \Delta_t^\varphi.$$

4. Nonlinear equilibrium

Given exogenous productivity A_t and policy rate i_t , equilibrium is a sequence

$$\{Y_t, M C_t, \Pi_t, \Delta_t, X_{1t}, X_{2t}\}$$

satisfying

$$Y_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t \left[Y_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \frac{1 + i_t}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right],$$

$$A_t^{1+\varphi} M C_t = Y_t^{\sigma+\varphi} \Delta_t^\varphi,$$

$$1 = \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{X_{1t}}{X_{2t}} \right)^{1-\theta},$$

$$X_{1t} = (1 + \mu) M C_t Y_t + \alpha \beta E_t \left[\left(\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \Pi_{t+1}^\theta X_{1,t+1} \right],$$

$$X_{2t} = (1 - \tau_t) Y_t + \alpha \beta E_t \left[\left(\frac{Y_{t+1}}{Y_t} \right)^{-\sigma} \Pi_{t+1}^{\theta-1} X_{2,t+1} \right],$$

$$\Delta_t = \alpha \Pi_t^\theta \Delta_{t-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{1 - \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1}}{1 - \alpha} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-1}}.$$

(i) Explain the role of each of the six equations above.

(ii) Which variable is purely cross-sectional?

(iii) Why is the nonlinear system not easily solvable in closed form?

5. Efficient steady state

Assume the benchmark steady state satisfies

$$\Pi = 1, \quad \Delta = 1, \quad MC = 1.$$

(i) Show that with zero inflation

$$1 = \alpha + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{X_1}{X_2} \right)^{1-\theta} \Rightarrow X_1 = X_2.$$

(ii) In steady state, show that

$$X_1 = \frac{(1 + \mu)MCY}{1 - \alpha\beta}, \quad X_2 = \frac{(1 - \tau)Y}{1 - \alpha\beta}.$$

(iii) Deduce that

$$(1 + \mu)MC = 1 - \tau.$$

(iv) Show that choosing

$$\tau = -\mu$$

removes the monopoly distortion in steady state.

(v) Using results from section 3, derive

$$Y^* = A^{\frac{1+\varphi}{\sigma+\varphi}}.$$

(vi) Explain why this is the efficient level of output in the no-capital economy.

6. Log-linearised system

Log-linearise around the efficient steady state.

(i) Explain why, to first order,

$$\widehat{\Delta}_t = 0.$$

New Keynesian Phillips Curve

Start from

$$1 = \alpha \Pi_t^{\theta-1} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{X_{1t}}{X_{2t}} \right)^{1-\theta}.$$

(i) Show that log-linearisation yields

$$\pi_t = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)}{\alpha} (mc_t + \widehat{\tau}_t) + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1}.$$

(ii) Starting from the labor-cost relation, show that

$$(1 + \varphi)a_t + mc_t = (\sigma + \varphi)y_t.$$

(iii) At the efficient allocation, show that

$$y_t^* = \frac{1 + \varphi}{\sigma + \varphi} a_t.$$

(iv) Deduce that

$$mc_t = (\sigma + \varphi)(y_t - y_t^*).$$

(v) Define the output gap

$$x_t \equiv y_t - y_t^*.$$

Show that the Phillips curve becomes

$$\pi_t = \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)(\sigma + \varphi)}{\alpha} x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)}{\alpha} \hat{\tau}_t.$$

(vi) Define

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)(\sigma + \varphi)}{\alpha}, \quad u_t \equiv \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha\beta)}{\alpha} \hat{\tau}_t,$$

and write the NKPC in the compact form

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t.$$

Demand curve

Start from the Euler equation

$$Y_t^{-\sigma} = \beta E_t \left[Y_{t+1}^{-\sigma} \frac{1 + i_t}{\Pi_{t+1}} \right].$$

(i) Show that, to first order,

$$y_t = E_t y_{t+1} - \sigma^{-1} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}).$$

(ii) Rewrite the equation in output-gap form:

$$x_t = -\sigma^{-1} (i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1},$$

where

$$r_t^* \equiv \sigma (E_t y_{t+1}^* - y_t^*).$$

(iii) Define the real interest rate

$$r_t \equiv i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1},$$

rewrite the IS relation as

$$x_t = -\sigma^{-1} (r_t - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1}.$$

and explain the economic meaning of r_t^* .

(iv) Rewrite the expression for r_t^* as a function of a_t , assuming $a_t = \rho_a a_{t-1} + \zeta_t$, where ζ is standard normal white noise.

Monetary policy rule

Assume

$$i_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_x x_t + \varepsilon_t.$$

(i) Explain the role of ϕ_π and ϕ_x .

(ii) What does ε_t capture?

7. The three-equation New Keynesian model

Collect the three equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_t &= \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t, \\ x_t &= -\sigma^{-1}(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1}, \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_x x_t + \varepsilon_t.\end{aligned}$$

And define the shocks:

$$\begin{aligned}u_t &= \rho_u u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^u, \\ a_t &= \rho_a a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^a, \\ \varepsilon_t &= \rho_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m.\end{aligned}$$

- (i) For each equation, state clearly whether it is an aggregate supply relation, aggregate demand relation, or policy rule.
- (ii) Explain in one sentence what each equation is pinning down.

9. Equilibrium determinacy and the Taylor principle

Substitute the Taylor rule into the IS curve:

$$x_t = -\sigma^{-1}(\phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_x x_t + \varepsilon_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1}.$$

Together with

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t,$$

this gives a forward-looking 2×2 system.

- (i) Show that the system can be written as

$$A_0 \begin{pmatrix} E_t x_{t+1} \\ E_t \pi_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} = A_1 \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ \pi_t \end{pmatrix} + B v_t,$$

with

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 1 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma + \phi_x & \phi_\pi \\ \kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (ii) Show that equivalently

$$E_t z_{t+1} = A z_t + \tilde{B} v_t, \quad A = A_0^{-1} A_1.$$

- (iii) Explain what determinacy means in this model.
- (iv) State the Blanchard-Kahn condition for this system.
- (v) Explain why, in the purely forward-looking 2×2 setup, determinacy requires both eigenvalues of A to lie outside the unit circle.
- (vi) Show that the generalized Taylor principle is

$$\phi_\pi > 1 - \frac{(1 - \beta)\phi_x}{\kappa}.$$

- (vii) Show that when $\phi_x = 0$, this reduces to

$$\phi_\pi > 1.$$

- (viii) Explain the economic intuition behind the Taylor principle.
- (ix) Explain why, if $\phi_\pi < 1$, inflation expectations may become self-fulfilling.

10. Solving the model with the method of undetermined coefficients

Consider the baseline system

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_t &= \kappa x_t + \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t, \\ x_t &= -\sigma^{-1}(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1}, \\ i_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_t + \phi_x x_t + \varepsilon_t,\end{aligned}$$

and define the real interest rate

$$r_t \equiv i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}.$$

In each case below, shut down the other shocks and solve one shock at a time.

Cost-push shock

Assume

$$u_t = \rho_u u_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^u, \quad r_t^* = 0, \quad \varepsilon_t = 0.$$

Conjecture

$$x_t = a_u u_t, \quad \pi_t = b_u u_t.$$

(i) Show that

$$E_t x_{t+1} = a_u \rho_u u_t, \quad E_t \pi_{t+1} = b_u \rho_u u_t.$$

(ii) Substituting into the Phillips curve and the IS curve, show that

$$\begin{aligned}b_u &= \kappa a_u + \beta \rho_u b_u + 1, \\ [\sigma(1 - \rho_u) + \phi_x] a_u + (\phi_\pi - \rho_u) b_u &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Show that, defining

$$D_u \equiv [\sigma(1 - \rho_u) + \phi_x](1 - \beta \rho_u) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - \rho_u),$$

the undetermined coefficients are

$$a_u = -\frac{\phi_\pi - \rho_u}{D_u}, \quad b_u = \frac{\sigma(1 - \rho_u) + \phi_x}{D_u}.$$

(iv) Show that the nominal interest rate satisfies

$$i_t = (\phi_\pi b_u + \phi_x a_u) u_t.$$

(v) Show that the real interest rate satisfies

$$r_t = (\phi_\pi b_u + \phi_x a_u - \rho_u b_u) u_t$$

and therefore

$$r_t = -\sigma(1 - \rho_u) a_u u_t = \frac{\sigma(1 - \rho_u)(\phi_\pi - \rho_u)}{D_u} u_t.$$

(vi) Show that $\phi_\pi > \rho_u$,

$$a_u < 0, \quad b_u > 0, \quad r_t > 0.$$

and interpret the results

(vii) Show that

$$i_t = \frac{\phi_\pi \sigma(1 - \rho_u) + \phi_x \rho_u}{D_u} u_t,$$

what is the response of i_t to a positive cost-push shock?

Natural-rate shock

Assume

$$r_t^* = \rho_r r_{t-1}^* + \varepsilon_t^r, \quad u_t = 0, \quad \varepsilon_t = 0.$$

Conjecture

$$x_t = a_r r_t^*, \quad \pi_t = b_r r_t^*.$$

(i) Show that

$$E_t x_{t+1} = a_r \rho_r r_t^*, \quad E_t \pi_{t+1} = b_r \rho_r r_t^*.$$

(ii) Show that substitution into the two equilibrium conditions gives

$$b_r = \kappa a_r + \beta \rho_r b_r, \\ [\sigma(1 - \rho_r) + \phi_x] a_r + (\phi_\pi - \rho_r) b_r = 1.$$

(iii) Show that, defining

$$D_r \equiv [\sigma(1 - \rho_r) + \phi_x](1 - \beta \rho_r) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - \rho_r),$$

the undetermined coefficients are

$$a_r = \frac{1 - \beta \rho_r}{D_r}, \quad b_r = \frac{\kappa}{D_r}.$$

(iv) Show that

$$i_t = (\phi_\pi b_r + \phi_x a_r) r_t^*.$$

(v) Show that

$$r_t = (\phi_\pi b_r + \phi_x a_r - \rho_r b_r) r_t^*$$

and therefore

$$r_t = [1 - \sigma(1 - \rho_r) a_r] r_t^* = \frac{\phi_x(1 - \beta \rho_r) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - \rho_r)}{D_r} r_t^*.$$

(vi) Show that, if $D_r > 0$ and $\phi_\pi > \rho_r$,

$$a_r > 0, \quad b_r > 0, \quad r_t > 0.$$

(vii) Show that

$$i_t = \frac{\phi_\pi \kappa + \phi_x(1 - \beta \rho_r)}{D_r} r_t^*,$$

and deduce that, if $D_r > 0$, the impact response of i_t to a positive natural-rate shock is positive.

Monetary policy shock

Assume

$$\varepsilon_t = \rho_\varepsilon \varepsilon_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t^m, \quad u_t = 0, \quad r_t^* = 0.$$

Conjecture

$$x_t = a_\varepsilon \varepsilon_t, \quad \pi_t = b_\varepsilon \varepsilon_t.$$

(i) Show that

$$E_t x_{t+1} = a_\varepsilon \rho_\varepsilon \varepsilon_t, \quad E_t \pi_{t+1} = b_\varepsilon \rho_\varepsilon \varepsilon_t.$$

(ii) Show that substitution into the two equilibrium conditions gives

$$b_\varepsilon = \kappa a_\varepsilon + \beta \rho_\varepsilon b_\varepsilon,$$

$$[\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon) + \phi_x] a_\varepsilon + (\phi_\pi - \rho_\varepsilon) b_\varepsilon = -1.$$

(iii) Show that, defining

$$D_\varepsilon \equiv [\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon) + \phi_x](1 - \beta \rho_\varepsilon) + \kappa(\phi_\pi - \rho_\varepsilon),$$

the undetermined coefficients are

$$a_\varepsilon = -\frac{1 - \beta \rho_\varepsilon}{D_\varepsilon}, \quad b_\varepsilon = -\frac{\kappa}{D_\varepsilon}.$$

(iv) Show that

$$i_t = (\phi_\pi b_\varepsilon + \phi_x a_\varepsilon + 1) \varepsilon_t.$$

(v) Show that

$$r_t = (\phi_\pi b_\varepsilon + \phi_x a_\varepsilon + 1 - \rho_\varepsilon b_\varepsilon) \varepsilon_t$$

and therefore

$$r_t = -\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon) a_\varepsilon \varepsilon_t = \frac{\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon)(1 - \beta \rho_\varepsilon)}{D_\varepsilon} \varepsilon_t.$$

(vi) Show that, if $D_\varepsilon > 0$,

$$a_\varepsilon < 0, \quad b_\varepsilon < 0, \quad r_t > 0.$$

(vii) Show that

$$i_t = \frac{\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon)(1 - \beta \rho_\varepsilon) - \kappa \rho_\varepsilon}{D_\varepsilon} \varepsilon_t.$$

Hence show that the sign of the nominal-rate response is positive provided

$$\sigma(1 - \rho_\varepsilon)(1 - \beta \rho_\varepsilon) > \kappa \rho_\varepsilon.$$