

Forward Guidance

The 3-Equation NK System

Consider the linearized New Keynesian model:

$$x_t = -(i_t - \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + \tilde{E}_t x_{t+1} \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_t = \kappa x_t + \beta \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} + u_t \quad (2)$$

$$i_t = \phi_\pi \pi_t \quad (3)$$

where x_t is the output gap, π_t is inflation, i_t is the nominal interest rate, r_t^* is the efficient real interest rate, u_t is a cost-push shock, $\kappa > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, and $\phi_\pi > 0$.

Forward Guidance in the Baseline Model

Assume first that expectations are rational, so that $\tilde{E}_t = E_t$.

(a) Starting from

$$x_t = -(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + E_t x_{t+1}, \quad (4)$$

iterate the equation forward and show that

$$x_t = -E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (i_{t+j} - \pi_{t+j+1} - r_{t+j}^*). \quad (5)$$

- (b) Explain why, in this formulation, an announced future fall in the nominal interest rate affects current output even if the fall is arbitrarily far in the future.
- (c) Consider a forward-guidance experiment in which the central bank announces at time t that the nominal interest rate will be lower than previously expected only at time $t + T$, for some large T . Using the expression above, explain why the effect on x_t does not vanish as T becomes large.
- (d) Explain why this feature is called the *forward guidance puzzle*.

Discounted Aggregate Demand and the Puzzle

Suppose now that aggregate demand instead takes the form

$$x_t = -(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*) + \delta E_t x_{t+1}, \quad 0 < \delta < 1. \quad (6)$$

(a) Compute the forward recursion and show that

$$x_t = -E_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta^j (i_{t+j} - \pi_{t+j+1} - r_{t+j}^*). \quad (7)$$

- (b) Compare this expression with the baseline case. Which term is responsible for damping the effect of distant future policy announcements?
- (c) Explain why introducing discounting in aggregate demand can mitigate the forward guidance puzzle.

Stubborn Agents

Now suppose that a fraction $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ of agents have rational expectations, while the remaining fraction $1 - \gamma$ always expect all endogenous variables next period to revert to steady state. The exam question states precisely this environment.

- (a) Show that under this assumption,

$$\tilde{E}_t x_{t+1} = \gamma E_t x_{t+1}, \quad \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} = \gamma E_t \pi_{t+1}. \quad (8)$$

- (b) Rewrite aggregate demand and aggregate supply in terms of the rational expectations operator $E_t(\cdot)$ only.
- (c) Explain why, relative to the fully rational benchmark, the effect of future expected variables is dampened.
- (d) Explain why this mechanism can potentially solve the forward guidance puzzle.

Matrix Representation with Stubborn Agents

Define

$$y_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \pi_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} r_t^* \\ u_t \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

- (a) Starting from the rewritten system, show that the equilibrium can be written in the form

$$E_t y_{t+1} = A y_t + B v_t. \quad (10)$$

- (b) Derive the matrix A explicitly as a function of $\beta, \kappa, \phi_\pi, \gamma$.
- (c) Verify that when $\gamma = 1$ your matrix A collapses to the standard rational-expectations case.
- (d) Explain informally what changes in the dynamics when γ falls.

Determinacy with Stubborn Agents

- (a) Derive the conditions under which the equilibrium is unique and determinate.
- (b) Explain why reducing γ tends to make determinacy easier to obtain.
- (c) Consider the case of an interest-rate peg:

$$\phi_\pi = 0. \quad (11)$$

Can equilibrium still be determinate? Show carefully which condition is relevant and explain the intuition.

Cognitive Discounting

Now agents know the steady state exactly, but they down-weight the expected log-deviation of a variable from steady state k periods ahead by a factor m^k , where $0 < m < 1$.

- (a) Show that, for one-step-ahead expectations, cognitive discounting implies

$$\tilde{E}_t x_{t+1} = m E_t x_{t+1}, \quad \tilde{E}_t \pi_{t+1} = m E_t \pi_{t+1}. \quad (12)$$

- (b) Rewrite the NK system in terms of the usual rational-expectations operator $E_t(\cdot)$.
- (c) Explain why this specification is conceptually similar to replacing the forward-looking term in aggregate demand with a discounted continuation value.
- (d) Explain why cognitive discounting can potentially solve the forward guidance puzzle.

Matrix Representation with Cognitive Discounting

Using the same notation

$$y_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ \pi_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_t \equiv \begin{bmatrix} r_t^* \\ u_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad (13)$$

consider the equilibrium representation

$$\tilde{E}_t y_{t+1} = A y_t + B v_t. \quad (14)$$

- (a) Derive the matrix A explicitly as a function of $\beta, \kappa, \phi_\pi, m$.
- (b) Compare this matrix with the one obtained under stubborn agents. Which parameter plays the analogous role?
- (c) Verify that when $m = 1$ you recover the fully rational benchmark.

Determinacy with Cognitive Discounting

- (a) Derive the conditions under which equilibrium is unique and determinate.
- (b) Explain why cognitive discounting tends to shrink the effect of distant future policy announcements.
- (c) Consider again the interest-rate peg:

$$\phi_\pi = 0. \quad (15)$$

Can the equilibrium still be determinate? State the condition and explain the intuition.